

# Proof Compilation

Christopher Lam

Joint work with Audrey Seo (UW), Talia Ringer (UIUC),  
and Dan Grossman (UW)

# Motivation

# Motivation

- gcc is 15 million lines of code!

# Motivation

- gcc is 15 million lines of code!
  - We have no reason to even believe this is correct!

# Motivation

- gcc is 15 million lines of code!
  - We have no reason to even believe this is correct!
    - We have explicit reason to believe it isn't correct!

# Motivation

- gcc is 15 million lines of code!
  - We have no reason to even believe this is correct!
    - We have explicit reason to believe it isn't correct!
- Full correctness is too powerful!

# Proposed Workflow

# Proposed Workflow

1. Prove a property  $P$  about a program  $C$  in your source language using Hoare logic



# Proposed Workflow

1. Prove a property  $P$  about a program  $c$  in your source language using Hoare logic
2. Compile  $c$

# Proposed Workflow

1. Prove a property  $P$  about a program  $C$  in your source language using Hoare logic
2. Compile  $C$ 
  - A. While  $C$  is being compiled, look at the transformations being applied to the program

# Proposed Workflow

1. Prove a property  $P$  about a program  $C$  in your source language using Hoare logic
2. Compile  $C$ 
  - A. While  $C$  is being compiled, look at the transformations being applied to the program
  - B. Transform the Hoare logic proof of property  $P$  along with  $C$

# Proposed Workflow

1. Prove a property  $P$  about a program  $C$  in your source language using Hoare logic
2. Compile  $C$ 
  - A. While  $C$  is being compiled, look at the transformations being applied to the program
  - B. Transform the Hoare logic proof of property  $P$  along with  $C$
3. Retrieve an analogous property  $P'$  about the compiled program  $C'$

Two problems!

# Two problems!

- Translating Hoare proofs across levels of abstraction

# Two problems!

- Translating Hoare proofs across levels of abstraction
- Mangling Hoare proofs with compiler optimizations

# Two problems!

- Translating Hoare proofs across levels of abstraction
- Mangling Hoare proofs with compiler optimizations

We've done this one





# A Quick Example

# A Quick Example

$\{5 \leq 10\} x := 5 \{x \leq 10\} z := 99 \{x \leq 10\}$

# A Quick Example

$\{5 \leq 10\} x := 5 \{x \leq 10\} z := 99 \{x \leq 10\}$



$\{5 \leq 10\} \#1 := 5 \{\#1 \leq 10\} \#2 := 99 \{\#1 \leq 10\}$

# A Quick Example

$\{5 \leq 10\} x := 5 \{x \leq 10\} z := 99 \{x \leq 10\}$



$\{5 \leq 10\} \#1 := 5 \{\#1 \leq 10\} \#2 := \#1 + \#2 \{\#1 \leq 10\}$

# A Quick Example

$\{5 \leq 10\} x := 5 \{x \leq 10\} z := 99 \{x \leq 10\}$



$\{5 \leq 10\} \#1 := 5 \{\#1 \leq 10\} \#2 := 42042 \{\#1 \leq 10\}$

# A Quick Example

$\{5 \leq 10\} x := 5 \{x \leq 10\} z := 99 \{x \leq 10\}$



$\{5 \leq 10\} \#1 := 5 \{\#1 \leq 10\} \#2 := \text{TOM} \{\#1 \leq 10\}$

Directions?

# Directions?

- Implement compiler optimizations



# Directions?

- Implement compiler optimizations
  - Probably need to prove something about Heyting Kleene Algebras with Tests

# Directions?

- Implement compiler optimizations
  - Probably need to prove something about Heyting Kleene Algebras with Tests
- Beyond toy models

# Directions?

- Implement compiler optimizations
  - Probably need to prove something about Heyting Kleene Algebras with Tests
- Beyond toy models
  - Lustre